Self-consistent plate tectonics in global convection models: Recent progress

Paul J. Tackley, Diogo Lourenco, Antoine Rozel, ETH Zürich Claire Mallard & Nicolas Coltice, ENS Lyon



Overview

- Review of the problem
- Global statistics: easy to get correct?
- Magmatism->crust is important!

Why does Earth have plate tectonics?

The plate problem

- Viscous, T-dependent rheology appropriate for the mantle leads to a stagnant lid
- exp(E/kT) where E~340 kJ/mol
- T from 1600 -> 300 K
 - =>1.3x10⁴⁸ variation
 - => RIGID/STAGNANT LID!

Only small ΔT participates in convection: enough to give $\Delta \eta$ factor ~10



Stagnant lid convection



Stagnant lid convection



Yield Stress = 3.5*10000 (420 MPa)



H. Van Heck

Why we don't understand plate tectonics at a fundamental level

- Rock deformation is complex
 - Viscous, brittle, plastic, elastic, nonlinear
 - Dependent on grain size, composition (major and trace elements, eg water)
- Multi-scale
 - Lengthscales from mm to 1000s km
 - Timescales from seconds Gyr

Multi-scale problem



'Human' scale



Micro scale





Strength of rocks

Increases with confining pressure (depth) then saturates

Low-T deformation: Effect of P



Low T: Effect of P



Fig. 6. Effect of confining pressure on the strength of Sleaford Bay clinopyroxenite tested in triaxial compression (S. H. Kirby and A. K. Kronenberg, unpublished data, 1978): (a) stress-strain curves, (b) ultimate strength or stress at 10% strain as a function of confining pressure.

Undeformed

ig

pressure

Intermediate confining pressure

High confining pressure

Strength profile of lithosphere

Continental (granite): Shimada 1993

Oceanic: Kohlstedt 1995



Low yield stress: weak plates, diffuse deformation





Intermediate yield stress: Good plate tectonics









High yield stress: Immobile lithosphere





cold T (downwellings)

<u>by P</u>aul J. Tackley 2000

 Varying yield strength, including asthenosph.

Mobile lid mode



H. Van Heck

Rayleigh number versus yield stress



Internal heating rate

Strength of the lithosphere vs convective stresses



H. van Heck, PhD Thesis

Implications for terrestrial planet evolution

- Plate tectonics favoured at
 - higher mantle viscosity (lower Ra)
 - Lower internal (radioactive) heating
- Both predict transition stagnant lid->plates as planet cools.

Influence of continents on selfconsistent plate tectonics?



Mid Ocean Ridge

Subduction Zones





Warming under supercontinent



Dynamic Causes of the Relation Between Area and Age of the Ocean Floor N. Coltice,^{1,2}* T. Rolf,³ P. J. Tackley,³ S. Labrosse^{1,2} **SCIENCE** VOL 336 20 APRIL 2012 B 20 6 6 6 continents +plumes 5 5 Plates 6 continents Area per unit age (km² yr⁻¹) Continent 15 3 continents 1 continent 10 2 0 160 200 40 160 200 40 160 200 120 80 120 80 120 40 80 0 Age (Ma) Age (Ma) Age (Ma)



Distribution shape varies with time

Reason 1: geometry of plate boundaries



Figure 1: The shape of the area-age distribution depends on the geometry of plate boundaries.

Coltice et al. 2013 EPSL

Reason 2: time-dependence of seafloor production



Figure 2: The shape of the area-age distribution depends on the time dependence of the production of new seafloor. Coltice et al. 2013 EPSL



The real Earth

Maps of reconstructed distribution of seafloor ages and associated areaage distributions in the past 200 Myr (Seton et al. 2012)

200 Ma 100 120 140 160 180 200 Age of Oceanic Lithosphere [m.yrs] 160 Ma 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 Age of seafloor (My Age of Oceanic Lithosphere [m.yrs] 120 Ma 100 150 200 Age of seafloor (My 100 120 140 160 180 200 Age of Oceanic Lithosphere [m.yrs] 100 Ma 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240 Age of Oceanic Lithosphere (m.yrs) 0 M: Age of seafloor () 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 240

Age of Oceanic Lithosphere [m.yrs]

Coltice et al. 2013 EPSL

The simulated Earth

Synthetic maps of seafloor ages and associated area-age distributions in the mantle convection model with 6 continental rafts and 14% of core heating.







Subduction controls the distribution and fracmentation of Earth's tectonic plates, C. Mallard at al., Nature 2016



Influence of yield stress on plate distribution



Higher yield stress -> larger plates

Generate plate maps





Plates are not a uniform size-> a distribution from large to small

Plate size distribution





YS=200 MPa -> matches Earth!



High curvature => new small plates form

Large plates stay ~same

Tortuosity!



More tortuous -> more triple junctions



Hence,

-> a simple rheology reproduces many features of Earth's plate tectonics age-area distribution; size distribution) **BUT**

-> required yield stress is far below laboratory values. WHY?

Continents help plate tectonics!

0.4 elative continental area Presence of continent allows plate tectonics at higher yield stress Mobile Rigid Plates Mid Ocean Episodic Ridge 0 Stagnant 1000 200 400 600 800 surf. oceanic yield stress / MPa Hoaling Continents Subduction Zones Rolf and Tackley, GRL 2011



Magmatism->crust helps plate tectonics

Purely thermal -> Stagnant With magma & crust Episodic plate tectonics

Diogo Lourenco A. **C** Rozel & Tackley, EPSL 2016





08/04/2014 37

Surface velocity vs. time

A - Surface Velocity



Lourenco, Rozel & Tackley, EPSL 2016

Initiation of first subduction by plumes



Nakagawa & Tackley, 2015

Summary

- The production of laterally-varying crust assists plate tectonics on Earth-like planets
- Broad parameter range in which episodic behaviour occurs

Extrusive heat pipe magmatism



(picture from Moore&Webb 2013)

-> COLD, STRONG crust/lithosphere

Temperature vs. time







- 'magmatic heat pipe'
- Cold crust/lithosphere
- High resurfacing rate (300 km/Gyr) does not match observations



Magmatism => 'thermostat'. No magmatism=> high T, massive melting



Episodic lid; extrusive



- Episodic overturn due to plastic yielding
- Better matches surface observations





Extrusive heat pipe magmatism



(picture from Moore&Webb 2013)

But probably most magmatism is intrusive



(picture from Cawood et al 2013)

-> COLD, STRONG crust/lithosphere -> WARM, WEAK crust/lithosphere







Intrusive magmatism; no plastic yielding

- Thin, weak crust & lithosphere
- Episodic overturn due to magmatic intrusion weakening lithosphere
- Also matches surface observations?

Coronae & Novae caused by magmatic intrusion? – Gerya 2014 EPSL





Conclusions

- Large-scale features of plate tectonics can be obtained with a simple description of plate boundaries
- Area-age distribution of oceanic lithosphere
- Size-number distribution of plates
- Melting and production of crust (oceanic or continental) play a big role in facilitating plate tectonics, as well as influencing other aspects of planetary evolution

